1		WAGANAKISING ODAWAK STATUTE
2		CRIMINAL and CRIMES
3		
4		
5	SECTION I.	SHORT TITLE
6		
7	This Statute may	y be cited as the "Criminal Statute," and it repeals and replaces WOS 1997014.
8		
9		
10	SECTION II.	PURPOSE
11		
12	The purpose of t	this Statute is to set forth the Tribe's jurisdiction and sovereign right to exercise
13	its power to prol	hibit certain conduct as a matter of public policy within its territory.
14		
15		
16	SECTION III.	DEFINITIONS
17		
18	A. "Act" me	eans some conduct or a series of related actions arising from and performed
19	pursuant to a sin	agle design or purpose.
20		
21	B. "Actual	physical control" of a vehicle requires that the person be physically in or on the
22	vehicle and have	e the capability to operate the vehicle, regardless of whether the person is
23	actually operating	ng the vehicle at the time.
24		
25	C. "Adult"	means any person over eighteen (18) years of age for purposes of criminal
26	jurisdiction.	
27		
28	D. "Charges	s" means the complaint filed by the Prosecutor.
29		
30		f Police" means the Chief of the Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians
31	Law Enforceme	nt.
32		
33		crime" means a crime that is punishable by up to three (3) years of
34	imprisonment an	nd up to \$5,000.00 in fines.
35		

	G.		s 2 crime" means a crime that is punishable by up to one (1) year of imprisonment				
	and up	to \$5,0	o to \$5,000.00 in fines.				
	Н.	"Class	s 3 crime" means a crime that is punishable by up to One hundred eighty (180) days				
	of imp	risonm	ent and up to \$2,000.00 in fines.				
	I.	"Class	s 4 crime" means a crime that is punishable by ninety (90) days of imprisonment				
	and up	to \$2,0	000 in fines.				
	J.	"Class	s 5 crime" means a crime that is punishable by up to thirty (30) days of				
	impris	onmen	t and up to \$1,000.00 in fines.				
	K.	"Cont	rolled substance" is defined and described in the Uniform Controlled Substances				
	Act, 2	1 U.S.C	C. Section 812, as updated, and includes any controlled substance defined in that				
	Act th	at that i	s mixed with or contains any of the following without prior authorization:				
		1.	heroin;				
		2.	concaine, its salts, optical and geometric isomers, and salts of isomers;				
		3.	ecgonine, its derivatives, their salts, isomers, and salts of isomers; or cocaine				
		base;					
		4.	phencyclidine (PCP);				
		5.	lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD);				
		6.	N-phenyl-N-[1-(2-phenylethyl)-4-piperidinyl] propanamide;				
		7.	marihuana;				
		8.	methamphetamine, its salts, isomers, and salts of its isomers.				
	L.	"Conv	veyance" means any motor vehicle, ship, vessel, railroad car, trailer, aircraft or				
	sleepii						
-		I n					

2 Μ. "Convicted" means that the offender has been subject to penal consequences based on the 3 conviction, however the conviction was styled. This applies to adult offenders and juveniles who 4 are prosecuted as adults.

5

6 N. "Department" means the Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians Law Enforcement.

7

8 O. "Enterprise" means an ongoing organization, formal or informal, that functions both as a 9 continuing unit and has a common purpose of engaging in a course of conduct.

10

- "Imprisonment" means incarceration pursuant to a conviction, regardless of the nature of 11 P. 12 the institution in which the offender serves the sentence. This term must be interpreted broadly to
- 13 include, for example, confinement is a state "prison" as well as in a local or Tribal "jail."

14

"Indian" means a person who is a member of a federally recognized Indian Tribe. 15 Q.

16 17

"Indian Tribe" means any federally recognized Tribe. R.

18

19 S. "Knowingly" means with full knowledge and intent.

20

- 21 T. "Mental state of malice" means a person acts "maliciously" or "with malice" when that 22 person consciously formulates a plan to injure the person or property of another and takes steps
- 23 to carry out that plan.

24

"Mental state of intent" means a person acts intentionally or with intent with respect to 25 U. 26 conduct when that person has the conscious desire to engage in certain conduct.

27

28 V. "Mental state of knowledge" means a person acts "knowingly" or "with knowledge" 29 when that person is aware of his or her actions and the probable consequences of those actions.

- 31 W. "Mental state of wanton or reckless" means a person acts "wantonly" or "recklessly"
- 32 when that person is aware, or should be aware, that certain conduct will endanger the health, 33 safety, or property of others but persists in engaging in the conduct despite the risks.

1 X. "Mental state of negligent" means a person acts "negligently" or "with neglect" when 2 that person acts in a manner that endangers the safety or property of others without exercising the 3 care that a reasonably prudent person would exercise under the same or similar circumstances. 4 5 Y. "Minor" or "Juvenile" means an individual who has not attained the age of eighteen (18) 6 years. 7 8 Z. "Motor Vehicle" means any car, truck, motorcycle, or other motor-operated vehicle. 9 10 "Non-Indian" means any person who is not a member of a federally recognized Indian AA. 11 Tribe. 12 13 "Normal faculties" means the ability to perform the many regular mental and physical BB. acts of our daily lives. This includes, but is not limited to the ability to see, hear, walk, talk, 14 15 judge distances, drive a motor vehicle, make judgments, and act in emergencies. 16 "Property" means anything of value and includes, but is not limited to, the following: 17 CC. 18 19 1. financial resources; 20 21 2. real property; 22 23 3. tangible and intangible personal property; and 24 25 4. services. 26 27 DD. "Real property" means land, anything erected on it, and any interests in the land. 28 29 "Resides" means, with respect to an individual, the location of the individual's home or EE. 30 other place where the individual habitually lives. 31 32 FF. "Structure" means a building of any kind, either temporary or permanent, that has a roof 33 over it, and includes portable buildings.

- 1 **GG.** "Territorial jurisdiction of the Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians" means
- 2 "areas referenced in Public Law 103-324, 25 U.S.C. Section 1300k-2(b)(2)(A) as the boundaries
- 3 of the reservations for the Little Traverse Bay Bands as set out in Article I, paragraphs 'third
- 4 and fourth' of the Treaty of 1855, 11 Stat. 621." Little Traverse Bay Bands Constitution, Article
- 5 V(A)(1)(a).

7 **HH.** "Tribal Court" means the Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians Tribal Court.

8

- 9 II. "Tribal member" means a person who is an enrolled citizen of the Little Traverse Bay
- 10 Bands of Odawa Indians.

11

12 **JJ.** "Tribe" means the Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians.

13

- 14 **KK.** "Unlawful debt" means any money or other thing of value constituting principal or
- interest of a debt that is legally unenforceable under the laws of the Little Traverse Bay Bands of
- Odawa Indians in whole or in part because the debt was incurred or contracted in violation of the
- 17 law.

18

- 19 **LL.** "Vehicle" means every device in, upon, or by which any person or property is or may be
- transported or drawn upon a highway, except devices used exclusively upon stationary rails or
- 21 tracks.

22

- 23 MM. "Vessel" means a boat that is subject to a license tax for operation and includes every
- description of watercraft, barge, and airboat, other than a seaplane, on the water used or capable
- of being used as a means of transportation on water.

26

27 NN. "Willfully" means intentionally, knowingly, and purposely.

2829

30 SECTION IV. CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

- 32 A. Criminal jurisdiction of the Tribe extends to adult LTBB citizens and adult citizens of
- Federally Recognized Tribes, however, upon motion of the Tribal Prosecutor the Judge has the
- discretion to try a minor as an adult within the jurisdictions of Violence Against Women
- Reauthorization Act of 2013 (VAWA).

1	
2.	

- **B.** The Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013 (VAWA), S.47, 113th
- 3 Congress, 2013-2015, was reauthorized and amended by Congress in 2013 affirming the tribes'
- 4 inherent power to exercise "special domestic violence criminal jurisdiction" (SDVCJ) over all
- 5 persons, regardless of their Indian or non-Indian status. Under VAWA LTBB has limited
- 6 criminal jurisdiction over non-Indian defendants only for the following crimes: dating violence,
- domestic violence, violations of protection orders, and other crimes when committed in the
- 8 context of a dating or domestic relationship. The limited jurisdiction applies where the victim is
- 9 an Indian, the defendant lives or works in the territorial jurisdiction of LTBB, or the defendant's
- spouse, intimate partner, or dating partner is an Indian. Such special jurisdiction may only be
- exercised when the defendant is charged with one (1) of the crimes in the Domestic Violence
- 12 Statute and may be imprisoned up to three (3) years, a fine of up to \$15,000.00, in accordance
- with TLOA jurisdiction.

- 15 C. The Indian Civil Rights Act (ICRA), 25 U.S.C. Section 1302, was enacted by Congress
- in 1968. The Tribe's jurisdiction is limited to punishments that may impose up to a one (1) year
- jail term and a fine up to \$5,000.00.

18

- 19 **D.** The Tribal Law and Order Act (TLOA), PL 111-211, was enacted by Congress in 2013.
- The Tribe's jurisdiction is extended to punishments that may impose up three (3) year
- imprisonment and a fine up to \$15,000.00, up the enactment of a Tribal Council Resolution.

22

2324

SECTION V. REQUIREMENTS FOR SEARCHES AND SEIZURES

2526

A. A search warrant may be issued by the Court authorizing law enforcement to search a specified place for evidence even without the occupant's consent, provided the following requirements are met:

282930

31

32

27

1. By affidavit, law enforcement shall show that Probable cause that a crime has been or is being committed exists and believe a search is justified when, under the totality of the circumstances, a reasonable person would conclude that evidence of a crime will be found where law enforcement want to search.

1	2	2. All search warrants issued must contain sufficient information that particularly
2	(describes the place to be searched and describe the items to be seized in such a way that
3	1	imits the legitimate scope of the search both spatially and temporally.
4		
5	B.	After executing a search warrant, law enforcement shall return a copy of the search
6	warrant	to the Court, and include a list of what was seized and a copy of the receipt for seized
7	property	that was given to the person searched.
8		
9	C. 1	Law enforcement may briefly detain a person when the officer has a reasonable and
10	articulal	ole suspicion that crime is afoot in order to confirm or dispel the officer's suspicion. If an
11	officer h	has a reasonable and articulable suspicion that the suspect is presently armed and
12	dangero	us, the officer may conduct a limited search of the suspect's outer clothing for the limited
13	purpose	of looking for weapons.
14		
15		
16	SECTION	ON VI. CHARGES and ARRAIGNMENT
17		
18	A. (Only the Prosecutor may bring forth Charges for crimes committed under this Statute.
19		
20	B. (Charges must include the following:
21		
22	1	1. The name and address of the Court.
23		
24	2	The name of the defendant, if known, or some other name if not known, plus
25	•	whatever description of the defendant is known.
26		
27	3	The signature of the prosecutor and his or her typewritten name.
28		
29	2	Facts that support the Jurisdiction of the Tribe.
30		
31	4	The alleged crime committed, along with the Statute and Code section of the
32	í	alleged crime, and the minimum and maximum possible penalty. Also, a statement by the
33		Prosecutor as to whether or not the punishment of imprisonment, in accordance with the
34		Sentencing Guidelines, will be requested by the Prosecutor.
35		
		Proposed Legislation: Criminal and Crimes Statute as spansored by Councilor Otto Inacted 02/06/15

1		6.	The lo	ocation where the offense was committed.
2				
3		7.	A sho	ort, concise statement of the alleged act or omission.
4				
5		8.	The p	erson against whom, or against whose property, the offense was committed.
6				
7		9.	The a	pproximate date and time of the commission of the offense.
8				
9		10.	The d	esignation of Indian or non-Indian status.
10				
11	C.	Char	ges mus	t be filed with the Court within seventy-two (72) hours if the Defendant is
12		being	g held in	law enforcement custody.
13				
14	D.	An a	rraignme	ent must be conducted in open court, upon the appearance of the accused in
15		respo	onse to a	summons or citation or, if the accused was arrested and confined, within
16		sever	nty-two ((72) hours and must consist of the following:
17				
18		1.	Ensur	ring that the defendant has a copy of the Charges.
19				
20		2.	Readi	ng of rights, including the right to be represented by an attorney, as follows:
21				
22			a.	To testify on his or her own behalf, or to refuse to testify regarding the
23			charg	e against him or her. However, once a defendant takes the stand to
24			testify	y on any matter relevant to the immediate proceeding, he or she shall
25			be de	emed to have waived all right to exercise his or her right to remain
26			silent	and may be cross-examined.
27				
28			b.	To confront and cross-examine all witnesses.
29				
30			c.	To compel by subpoena the attendance of witnesses.
31				
32			d.	To have a jury trial of not less than seven (7) persons for any offense
33				punishable by imprisonment, if the Charges include a statement by the
34 35				Prosecutor requesting the punishment of imprisonment.

1		e. To have a speedy and public trial. The defendant and the Tribe are
2		entitled to a speedy trial and resolution of all matters before the Court.
3		The trial court has the responsibility to establish and control a trial
4		calendar. In assigning cases to the calendar, and insofar as it is practical,
5		the trial of criminal cases must be given preference over the trial of civil
6		cases, and the trial of defendants in custody must be given preference
7		over other criminal cases.
8		
9		f. To appeal consistent with LTBB's Rules of Appellate Procedures.
10		
11		g. Not to be prosecuted by the Tribe twice for the same offense.
12		
13		h. To a fair trial and due process of law.
14		
15	3.	Reading of the charges; and then
16		
17	4.	Asking the defendant to enter a plea of guilty, not guilty, or no contest.
18		
19		
20	SECTION V	II. REQUIREMENTS FOR CONVICTION
21		
22	A. Culpa	bility. A person must not by guilty of an offense unless that person acted
23	intentionally,	maliciously, knowingly, recklessly or negligently as the law requires with respect
24	to each materi	ial element of the offense. However, any material element of an offense that does
25	not require a r	mental state may be established by proving that the person participated in the
26	prohibited cor	nduct regardless of that person's state of mind.
27		

28

29

30

31

B. Burden of Proof. No person may be convicted of an offense unless the Tribe proves each element of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt. Reasonable doubt is not a mere possible doubt, a speculative, imaginary or forced doubt. If each element is not proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the Tribe has not met its burden of proof.

32 33

C. **Intoxication.** Intoxication is not a defense unless it negates an element of the offense. Self-induced intoxication cannot negate the element of recklessness or negligence.

1	D.	Stat	ute of Limitations. No person may be prosecuted, tried, or punished for any crimina			
2	offense unless the prosecution is initiated within one (1) year after both of the following					
3	condi	tions a	are met:			
4						
5		1.	Discovery that an offense has been committed; and			
6						
7		2.	Discovery of the identity of the person who allegedly committed the offense.			
8						
9		3.	The Court may suspend the time limitation for the amount of time that the			
10			Defendant no longer within the Tribe's Jurisdiction.			
11						
12	E.	Mul	tiple Counts. When the conduct of a defendant establishes the commission of more			
13	than o	one off	ense, the defendant may be prosecuted for each offense, unless:			
14						
15		1.	One offense consists only of an attempt to commit the other;			
16						
17		2.	Inconsistent findings of fact are required to establish commission of the offenses;			
18		or				
19						
20		3.	The offenses differ only in that one prohibits a designated kind of conduct			
21		gene	erally, and the other prohibits a specific instance of such conduct.			
22						
23						
24	SEC	ΓΙΟΝ	VIII. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND ALIBI			
25						
26	A.	Dur	ess. Duress is an affirmative defense that the defendant was coerced against his or			
27	her w	ill by t	the use of, or threatened use of, unlawful force against the defendant's person or the			
28	perso	n of ar	nother. The coercion must be such that a person of reasonable firmness would be			
29	unabl	e to re	sist.			
30						
31	В.	Prot	tection of Self, Property, or Other Person. The use of reasonable force toward			
32	anoth	er pers	son is justified and is an affirmative defense if the following requirements are met:			
33						
34		1.	the force is directed toward someone who is using unlawful force; and			
35						

1		2. the person using such force reasonably believes the use of force is necessary for
2		his or her protection or that of a third person.
3		
4	C.	Alibi. The defense of alibi is used when the accused was somewhere else when the crime
5	was co	ommitted, and must be treated procedurally as an affirmative defense.
6		
7	D.	Mental Infirmity, Disease, or Defect. Mental Infirmity, disease, or defect is an
8	affirm	ative defense that because of a condition the defendant did not know what he or she was
9	doing	or the consequences of those actions or although the defendant knew what he or she was,
10	but die	d not know it was wrong.
11		
12	E.	Lawful Possession of a Controlled Substance. Lawful possession of a controlled
13	substa	nce is an affirmative defense when the substance was lawfully obtained from a practitioner
14	or pur	suant to a valid prescription or order of a practitioner while acting in the course of the
15	practit	tioner's professional practice.
16		
17	F.	Entrapment. Entrapment is an affirmative defense if the defendant:
18		
19		1. Was induced or encouraged to engage in the conduct of the crime charged for
20		purposes of obtaining evidence of the commission of a crime;
21		
22		2. Engaged in conduct as a direct result of the inducement or encouragement;
23		
24		3. The person who induced or encouraged the defendant was a law enforcement
25		officer or a person acting as an agent of a law enforcement office;
26		
27		4. The person who induced or encouraged the defendant used methods of persuasion
28		or inducement that created a substantial risk that the crime would be committed by a
29		person other than one who was ready to commit it; and
30		
31		5. The defendant was not a person who was ready to commit the crime.
32		
33	G.	Self-Defense. Self-defense is an affirmative defense if the death or injury to the victim
34	resulte	ed from the justifiable use of deadly force where the defendant reasonably believed that the
35	force	was necessary to prevent imminent death or great bodily harm to him or herself.

previously committed crimes and the classifications of the previous crimes;

1		
2	4.	if a weapon was used, the type of weapon used and the manner in which the
3	weapo	on was used;
4		
5	5.	the degree of physical injury to the victim;
6		
7	6.	the degree of psychological injury to the victim;
8		
9	7.	the number of victims involved;
10		
11	8.	the degree of the defendant's intent;
12		
13	9.	any contemporaneous criminal acts;
14		
15	10.	whether the crime is part of a pattern of criminal behavior;
16		
17	11.	the value of any property obtained, damaged, or destroyed; and
18		
19	12.	the degree of intoxication during the criminal act.
20		
21		ourt may include in the guidelines appropriate rehabilitative or probationary terms
22		easures, such as batter's intervention, inpatient or outpatient drug treatment or
23		n a drug treatment court, probation with any probation conditions required or
24	,	law, residential probation, probation with jail, probation with special alternative
25		mental health treatment, mental health or substance abuse counseling, jail with
26		ol release, jail with or without authorization for day parole, participation in a
27	•	orrections program, community service, house arrest, or electronic monitoring,
28	_	it defines what facts and circumstances would allow for the remedial measures to
29	be taken into	consideration.
30		
31		ncing. When making sentencing determinations, the Court shall follow the
32	0.0	idelines and consider the type and seriousness of the crime, including any
33	mitigating and	d/or aggravating circumstances, as well as the potential helpfulness of rehabilitative

services. Deviation from the sentencing guidelines would only occur if the Court has a

1	substantial and compelling reason for that departure and states on the record the reasons for		
2	departure from	n the g	uidelines.
3			
4	D. Mitig	ating a	and Aggravating Circumstances. The Court may consider the following
5	aggravating a	nd miti	gating circumstances to ensure a just and fair sentence:
6			
7	1.	Mitig	ating circumstances include the following:
8			
9		a.	the absence of any violent activity during the commission of the crime;
10			
11		b.	the absence of any weapons used during the commission of the crime;
12			
13		c.	the defendant was under an extreme mental or emotional disorder or
14		delus	ion;
15			
16		d.	the defendant committed the crime under a reasonable belief of moral
17		justif	ication or necessity;
18			
19		e. (the defendant acted under duress or substantial domination of another
20		perso	n;
21			
22		f.	the age and maturity of the defendant;
23			
24		g.	the defendant was an accomplice and had only minor participation in the
25		comn	nission of the crime;
26			
27		h.	the amount of property damage caused was less than \$1,000.00;
28			
29		i.	the value of property or money taken was less than \$1,000.00.
30	_		
31	2.	Aggra	avating circumstances include the following:
32			
33		a.	the presence of any violent activity during the commission of the crime;
34		_	
35		b.	the presence of any weapons used during the commission of the crime;

1			
2		c.	the defendant was voluntarily intoxicated during the commission of the
3		crime	, ,
4			
5		d.	the defendant is a repeat or habitual offender;
6			
7		e.	the defendant was an accomplice and had substantial participation in the
8		comn	nission of the crime;
9			
10		f.	the amount of property damage caused was greater than \$1,000.00;
11			
12		g.	the value of property or money taken or converted was greater than
13		\$1,00	0.00.
14			
15			
16	E. For	feiture o	f Weapons. The Court may order forfeiture of the firearms and
17	ammunition	1.	
18			
19	F. Vict	tim's Im	pact Statement. Prior to sentencing, the Court must inform the victim(s) of
20	their right to	o submit	a written statement to the Court detailing the physical, material, and
21	emotional d	lamages t	that they suffered as a result of the offender's actions. The Judge has
22	discretion to	o allow o	ral testimony regarding such damages in addition to or in lieu of the written
23	statement.		
24			
25			
26	SECTION	XI.	INCHOATE CRIMES
27			
28	A. Atte	empt to (Commit Crime [Shall be on class lower than the underlying offense].
29			
30	1.	To pr	ove the crime of Attempt to Commit Crime, the Tribe must prove beyond a
31	reas	onable do	oubt that:
32			
33		a.	the defendant did some act toward committing the crime; and
34			
35		b.	the act went beyond just thinking or talking about it.

1		
2		2. It is not an attempt to commit a crime if the defendant abandoned his or her
3		attempt to commit the offense or otherwise prevented its commission under
4		circumstances indicating a complete and voluntary renunciation of his or her criminal
5		purpose.
6		
7		3. The defendant may still by guilty of an attempt to commit a crime if the defendant
8		would have committed the crime except that someone prevented the defendant from
9		committing the crime or the defendant failed to commit the crime.
10		
11	В.	Criminal Solicitation [Class 1].
12		
13		1. To prove the crime of Criminal Solicitation, the Tribe must prove beyond a
14		reasonable doubt that:
15		
16		a. the defendant solicited a person to commit an offense; and
17		
18		b. the defendant commanded, encouraged, hired or requested a person to
19		engage in specific conduct that would constitute the commission of the solicited
20		offense or an attempt to commit the solicited offense.
21		
22		2. It is not necessary that the defendant do any act in furtherance of the offense
23		solicited.
24		
25		3. Definition. "Solicit" means to earnestly ask or try to induce the person solicited to
26		do the thing solicited.
27		
28		4. Defense. It is a defense to the charge of Criminal Solicitation if the defendant,
29		after soliciting a person to commit the solicited offense, persuades the person not to
30		commit the offense or otherwise prevents the commission of the offense.
31	•	
32	С.	Criminal Conspiracy [Class 1].
33		1 To prove the onime of Chiminal Constitution the Tails accept to the Land
34		1. To prove the crime of Criminal Conspiracy, the Tribe must prove beyond a
35		reasonable doubt that:

1		
2	a. the defendant intended for the offense to be committed; and	
3		
4	b. the defendant, in order to carry out his or her intent, agreed, consp	ired,
5	combined or confederated with the alleged person(s) to cause the offense	to be
6	committed by them individually or together or by some other person.	
7		
8	2. It is not necessary that the agreement, conspiracy, combination, or confed	eration
9	to commit the offense be expressed in any particular words or that words pass be	tween
10	the conspirators.	
11		
12	3. It is not necessary that the defendant do any act in furtherance of the offer	ise
13	conspired.	
14		
15		
16	1 2	_
17	person or persons not to commit the offense or otherwise prevented the commiss	ion of
18	the offense.	
19		
20		
21	SECTION XII. ATTEMPTED HOMICIDE	
22		
23	. ,	
24		
25		ove
26		
27		_
28		m that
29		
30		
31	b. the defendant acted with a premeditated design to kill the victim;	and
32		
33	1	
34		do so.
35		

1		2. Definition. "Premeditated" means that there was a conscious decision to kill; that
2		there was time for reflection by the defendant; and that the premeditated intent to kill
3		existed before the act was committed.
4		
5		3. Defense. It is a defense to Attempted Premeditated Murder, if the defendant
6		abandoned the attempt to commit the offense or otherwise prevented its commission
7		under circumstances that indicate a complete and voluntary renunciation of his or her
8		criminal purpose.
9		
10	В.	Attempted Murder [Class 1].
11		
12		1. To prove the crime of Attempted Second Degree Murder, the Tribe must prove
13		beyond a reasonable doubt that:
14		
15		a. the defendant intentionally committed an act that would have resulted in
16		the death of the victim, except that someone prevented the defendant from killing
17		the victim or the defendant failed to do so; and
18		
19		b. the act was imminently dangerous to another and demonstrating a
20		depraved mind without regard fro human life.
21		
22		2. It is not necessary for the Tribe to prove the defendant had intent to cause death.
23		
24		3. Definitions. "Imminently dangerous to another and demonstrating a depraved
25		mind" means an act or series of acts that:
26		
27		a. a person of ordinary judgment would know is reasonably certain to kill or
28		do serious bodily injury to another;
29		
30		b. is done from ill will, hatred, spite, or an evil intent; and
31		
32		c. is of such a nature that the act itself indicates an indifference to human
33		life.
34		

1 2		4.	Defense. It is a defense to an Attempt to Commit Murder, if the defendant loned the attempt to commit the offense or otherwise prevented its commission	
3		under circumstances indicating a complete and voluntary renunciation of his or her		
4		crimi	nal purpose.	
5				
6	C.	Atter	npted Felony [Class 1] Murder.	
7				
8		1.	To prove the crime of Attempted Felony [Class 1] Murder, the Tribe must prove	
9		the fo	ollowing beyond a reasonable doubt:	
10				
11			a. the defendant committed or attempted to commit a crime;	
12				
13			b. while engaged in the commission, attempted commission, or escape from	
14			the immediate scene of the crime, the defendant committed, aided or abetted an	
15			intentional act that is not an essential element of the alleged crime; and	
16				
17			c. this intentional act could have but did not cause the death of the victim.	
18				
19		2.	It is not necessary for the Tribe to prove that the defendant had a premeditated	
20			n or intent to kill.	
21				
22	D.	Atter	npted Felony [Class 1] Murder, Injury Caused by Another.	
23	υ.		ipica Polony [Cinss 1] Maraet, Injury Causea by Minother.	
24		1.	To prove the crime of Attempted Felony [Class 1] Murder Injury Caused by	
25			her, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:	
26		THIOU	net, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that.	
27			a. the defendant committed or attempted to commit an offense; and	
28			a. the defendant committee of attempted to commit an offense, and	
28 29			b. the victim was injured during the commission or attempted commission of	
30				
			an escape from the immediate scene of the alleged offense by an individual other	
31			than the defendant.	
32		2	It is not necessary for the Tribe to may a that the defendant had a manualitated	
33		2.	It is not necessary for the Tribe to prove that the defendant had a premeditated	
34		uesig	n or intent to kill.	
35				

1	E.	Attempted Voluntary Manslaughter [Class 1].
2		
3		1. To prove the crime of Attempted Voluntary Manslaughter, the Tribe must prove
4		the following element beyond a reasonable doubt:
5		
6		a. the defendant committed or procured an act that was intended to cause the
7		death of the victim; and
8		
9		b. the act would have resulted in the death of the victim except that someone
10		prevented the defendant from killing the victim or the defendant failed to
11		do so.
12		
13		2. Definition. "Procure" means to persuade, induce, prevail upon, or cause a person
14		to do something.
15		
16		3. Defense. It is a defense to Attempted Voluntary Manslaughter if the killing was
17		abandoned, excusable, or justifiable.
18		
19		a. Abandonment Defense. It is a defense if the defendant abandoned the
20		attempt to commit the offense or otherwise prevented its commission under
21		circumstances indicating a complete and voluntary renunciation of his or her
22		criminal purpose.
23		
24		b. Excusable Killing Defense. The killing a human being is excusable, and
25		therefore lawful, under any of the following circumstances:
26		
27		i. the killing was committed by accident and misfortune in doing
28		any lawful act by lawful means with usual ordinary caution and
29		without any unlawful intent;
30		
31		ii. the killing occurred by accident and misfortune in the heat of
32		passion, upon any sudden and sufficient provocation; or
33		

1		iii. the killing was committed by accident and misfortune resulting
2		from a sudden combat, if a dangerous weapon is not used and the killing
3		is not done in a cruel or unusual manner.
4		
5		c. Justifiable Killing Defense. The killing of a human being is justifiable,
6		and therefore lawful, if necessarily done while resisting an attempt to murder or
7		commit a Class 1 crime upon the defendant or to commit a Class 1 crime in any
8		dwelling in which the defendant was at the time of the killing.
9		
10		
11	SEC'	TION XIII. HOMICIDE
12		
13	A.	Premeditated Murder [Class 1].
14		1. To prove the crime of Premeditated Murder, the Tribe must prove beyond a
15		reasonable doubt that:
16		
17		a. the victim is dead;
18		
19		b. the death was caused by the defendant's criminal act; and
20		
21		c. the defendant premeditated killing the victim.
22		
23		2. If the defendant has a premeditated design to kill one person and in attempting to
24		kill that person actually kills another person, the killing is premeditated.
25		
26		3. Definition. "Premeditated" means that there was a conscious decision to kill; that
27		there was time for reflection by the defendant; and that the premeditated intent to kill
28		existed before the act was committed.
29		
30	В.	Murder [Class 1].
31		1. To prove the crime of Murder, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt
32		that:
33		
34		a. the victim is dead;
35		

1	b.	the death was caused by the criminal act of the defendant; and
2		
3	c.	there was an unlawful killing of the victim by an act imminently
4	dang	gerous to another and demonstrating a depraved mind without regard for
5	hum	an life.
6		
7	2. It is	not necessary for the Tribe to prove that the defendant had intent to cause
8	death.	
9		
10	3. Defi	nition. "Imminently dangerous to another and demonstrating a depraved
11	mind" mean	s an act or series of acts that:
12		
13	a.	a person of ordinary judgment would know is reasonably certain to kill or
14	do se	erious bodily injury to another;
15		
16	b.	is done from ill will, hatred, spite, or an evil intent; and
17		
18	с.	is of such a nature that the act itself indicates and indifference to human
19	life.	
20		
21	C. Felony [Cla	ass 1] Murder.
22		
23	1. To p	prove the crime of Felony [Class 1] Murder, the Tribe must prove beyond a
24	reasonable o	loubt that:
25		
26	a.	the victim is dead;
27		
28	b.	the death occurred as a consequence of and while the defendant was
29	enga	ged in the commission, attempted commission, or aiding and abetting of the
30	alleg	ged crime; and
31		
32	c.	the victim was killed by:
33		
34		i. the defendant;
2.5		

1		ii. an accomplice; or
2		
3		iii. any another person.
4		
5		2. It is not necessary for the Tribe to prove that the defendant had a premeditated
6		design or intent to kill.
7		
8	D.	Manslaughter [Class 1].
9		
10		1. To prove the crime of Manslaughter, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable
11		doubt that:
12		
13		a. the victim is dead; and
14		
15		b. the defendant intentionally committed an act that caused the death of the
16		victim; the defendant intentionally procured an act that caused the death of the
17		victim; or the defendant's culpable negligence caused the death of the victim.
18		
19		2. It is not necessary for the Tribe to prove that the defendant had intent to cause
20		death, only intent to commit an act that was not justified or excusable and that caused
21		death.
22		
23		3. Definition. "Culpable negligence" means that there was a breach of one's duty to
24		act reasonably towards others by gross or flagrant conduct. This includes conduct that
25		shows a reckless disregard or indifference for human life or for the safety of others.
26		
27		4. Defenses. It is a defense to Manslaughter, if the killing was excusable or
28		justifiable.
29		
30		a. Excusable Killing Defense. The killing of a human being is excusable,
31		and therefore lawful, under any of the following circumstances:
32		
33		i. the killing was committed by accident and misfortune in doing any
34		lawful act by lawful means with usual ordinary caution and without any
35		unlawful intent;

1			
2		ii. the	e killing occurred by accident and misfortune in the heat of
3		passion, t	upon any sudden and sufficient provocation; or
4			
5		iii. the	e killing was committed by accident and misfortune resulting
6		from a suc	dden combat, so long as a dangerous weapon was not used and
7		the killing	was not done in a cruel or unusual manner.
8			
9		b. Justifia	ble Killing Defense. The killing of a human being is justifiable,
10		and therefore law	ful, if necessarily done while resisting an attempt to murder or
11		commit a Class 1	crime upon the defendant, or to commit a Class 1 crime in any
12		dwelling in which	n the defendant was at the time of the killing.
13			
14	E.	Vehicular or Vessel Ma	nslaughter [Class 1].
15			
16		1. To prove the crim	ne of Vehicular or Vessel Manslaughter, the Tribe must prove the
17		following beyond a reason	onable doubt:
18			
19		a. the victi	m is dead;
20			
21		b. the deat	h was caused by the defendant's operation of a motor vehicle or
22		vessel; a	and
23			
24		c. the defe	ndant operated the motor vehicle or vessel in a reckless or
25		careless manner l	ikely to cause the death of or great bodily harm to another
26		person. [or while	intoxicate/under the influence of an alcoholic beverage or
27		controlled substan	nce]
28			
29		2. It is not necessary	for the Tribe to prove that the defendant intended to harm or
30		injure the victim or any o	other person.
31			
32			
33			
34			
25			

1	SEC	TION XIV.	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND SEX OFFENSES
2			
3	A.	Domestic V	Tiolence Crimes. See Domestic Violence Statute, WOTCL #
4			
5	В.	Sex Offense	es. See WOTCL 9.210(A)-(H).
6			
7			
8	SEC	TION XV.	ASSAULT, BATTERY, AND STALKING
9			
10	A.	Aggravated	d Assault [Class 2].
11			
12		-	prove the crime of Aggravated Assault, the Tribe must prove beyond a
13		reasonable o	loubt that:
14			
15		a.	the defendant intentionally and unlawfully threatened to do violence to the
16			victim either by verbal or physical conduct;
17		_	
18		b.	at the time, the defendant appeared to have the ability to carry out the
19			threat;
20			
21		c.	the victim had a well-founded fear that the violence was about to take
22			place; and
23			
24		d.	the assault was made using a deadly weapon or with the intent to commit
25			an offense upon the victim.
26		2 II.	
27		2. It is	not necessary for the Tribe to prove that the defendant had intent to kill.
28		2 D.C	
29			inition. "Deadly weapon" means any weapon that is used or threatened to be
30		usea in a wa	ay that is likely to produce death or great bodily harm.
31	D	A 000 ml4 [C]	ass 21. To prove the grime of Assoult, the Tribe would record a
32	В.	_	ass 2]. To prove the crime of Assault, the Tribe must prove beyond a
33		reasonable o	loudt mat.
34			

1		1.	the defendant intentionally and unlawfully threatened to do violence to the victim	
2		eithe	er by verbal or physical conduct;	
3				
4		2.	at the time, the defendant appeared to have the ability to carry out the threat; and	
5				
6		3.	the victim had a well-founded fear that the violence was about to take place.	
7				
8	C.	Aggı	ravated Battery [Class 2].	
9		1.	To prove the crime of Aggravated Battery, the Tribe must prove beyond a	
10		reasc	onable doubt that the defendant:	
11				
12			a. intentionally touched, struck, or caused bodily harm to the victim;	
13				
14			b. intentionally or knowingly caused the victim great bodily harm, permanent	
15			disability, or permanent disfigurement; and	
16				
17			c. used a deadly weapon.	
18				
19		2.	Definition. "Deadly weapon" means any weapon that is used or threatened to be	
20		used	in a way that is likely to produce death or great bodily harm.	
21				
22	D.	Batte	ery [Class 2].	
23				
24		1.	To prove the crime of Battery, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt	
25		that:		
26				
27			a. the defendant intentionally touched, stuck, or caused bodily harm to the	
28			victim;	
29				
30			b. the defendant's actions were done against the victim's will; and	
31				
32			c. the defendant intentionally caused bodily harm to the victim.	
33				
34		2.	It is not necessary for the defendant to cause substantial harm to the victim, but	
35		only	that the defendant's actions caused some harm.	

1			
2	E.	Aggra	vated Stalking [Class 2].
3			
4		1.	To prove the crime of Aggravated Stalking, the Tribe must prove beyond a
5		reasona	able doubt that the defendant:
6			
7			a. willfully, maliciously, and repeatedly followed, harassed or cyber-stalked
8			the victim; and
9			
10			b. the defendant made a credible threat with the intent to place the victim in
11			reasonable fear of death or bodily injury to himself or herself or the victim's
12			child, sibling, spouse, parent or dependant.
13			
14		2.	Definitions.
15			
16			a. "Harass" means to engage in a course of conduct directed at a specific
17			person that causes substantial emotional distress in such person and serves no
18			legitimate purpose.
19			
20			b. "Cyber-stalk" means to engage in a course of conduct to communicate
21			words, images or language by or through electronic means directed at a specific
22			person, causing substantial emotional distress to that person and serving no
23			legitimate purpose.
24			
25			c. "Credible threat" means a threat made with the intent to cause the person
26			who is the target of the threat to reasonably fear for his or her safety. The threat
27			must be directed at causing bodily injury or death to a person.
28			
29	F.	Stalkir	ng [Class 2].
30			
31		-	ve the crime of Stalking, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the
32			onduct involving repeated or continuing harassment or cyber-stalking of another
33			t would cause a reasonable person to feel terrorized, frightened, intimidated,
34			rassed, or molested and that actually causes the victim to feel terrorized,
35	frightened, intimidated, threatened, harassed, or molested.		

1		
2	1.	Definitions.
3		
4		a. "Harass" means to engage in a course of conduct directed at a specific
5		person that causes substantial emotional distress in that person and serves no
6		legitimate purpose.
7		
8		b. "Cyber-stalking" means to engage in a course of conduct to communicate
9		words, images, or language by or through electronic means directed at a specific
10		person, causing substantial emotional distress to that person and serving no
11		legitimate purpose.
12		
13		
14	SECTION X	VI. KIDNAPPING and FALSE IMPRISONMENT
15		
16	A. Kidna	pping [Class 2].
17		
18	1.	To prove the crime of Kidnapping, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable
19	doubt t	hat the defendant:
20		
21		a. confined or abducted the victim against his or her will by force or threat;
22		
23		b. had no lawful authority; and
24		
25		c. acted with intent to:
26		
27		i. hold for ransom, reward, shield, or hostage;
28		
29		ii. commit or facilitate commission of another offense;
30		
31		iii. inflict bodily harm upon or to terrorize the victim or another
32		person; or
33		
34		iv. interfere with the performance of any governmental or political
35		function.

2	2.	The confinement or abduction must not:
3 4		a. be slight, inconsequential, or incidental to another offense;
5 6 7		b. be of the kind inherent in the nature of another offense; or
8 9 10		c. have some significance independent of another offense in that it makes the other offense substantially easier to commit or substantially lessens the risk of detection.
11		
12 13	3.	Confinement of a child under the age of thirteen is against the child's will if the afinement is without the consent of the child's parent or legal guardian.
1415	B. Fal	so Imprisonment [Class 2]
16	D. Fal	se Imprisonment [Class 2].
17 18	1.	To prove the crime of False Imprisonment, the Tribe must prove beyond a sonable doubt that the defendant:
19		
20		a. confined, abducted or imprisoned the victim against his or her will by
21		force or threat; and
22		
23		b. the defendant had no lawful authority.
24		
25	2.	Confinement of a child under the age of thirteen is against the child's will if the
2627	COI	afinement is without the consent of the child's parent or legal guardian.
28		
29	SECTION	XVII. WEAPONS and EXPLOSIVES
30		
31	A. Ca	rrying a Deadly Weapon without a License [Class 3]. To prove the crime of
32	Carrying a	Deadly Weapon without a License, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt
33	that:	
34		
35	1.	the defendant was carrying a deadly weapon; and

1		
2		2. the defendant did not have a license issued by either the Little Traverse Bay
3		Bands of Odawa Indians or the State of Michigan.
4		
5	В.	Unlawful use of a Weapon [Class 3].
6		
7		1. To prove the crime of Unlawful use of a Weapon, the Tribe must prove beyond a
8		reasonable doubt that the defendant:
9		
10		a. discharged a firearm in the proximity of a building or vehicle so as to
11		knowingly or recklessly endanger a person or property;
12		
13		b. carried a firearm while intoxicated;
14		
15		c. knowingly or recklessly handled or used a firearm or other weapon in a
16		way that endangered the safety of another; or
17 18		d. carried a firearm or other weapon with unlawful intent.
19		d. carried a firearm or other weapon with unlawful intent.
20		2. Definition. "Intoxicated" means being under the influence of alcohol or other
21		controlled substance such that one's normal faculties are impaired.
22		controlled substance such that one is normal faculties are impaned.
23	C.	Dangerous use of Explosives [Class 4]. To prove the crime of Dangerous use of
24		osives, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant either:
25	P	
26		1. intended to injure, intimidate or terrify another or damage another's property, and
27		maliciously exploded or attempted to explode the explosive; or
28		
29		2. failed to use reasonable care in the placement or manner of exploding or
30		attempting to explode the explosive, and that conduct resulted in an injury to another or
31		to the property of another.
32		
33	D.	False Reports of Explosive [Class 4]. To prove the crime of False Reports of Explosive
34	the T	ribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
35		

1		1.	the defendant made a false report concerning the placing or planting of an alleged
2		explo	osive to the person receiving the report;
3			
4		2.	the defendant knew the report was false; and
5			
6		3.	the report was made with intent to deceive, mislead or otherwise misinform the
7		perso	on receiving the report.
8			
9			
10 11	SEC	FION 2	XVIII. ARSON and CRIMINAL MISCHIEF
12	A.	Arso	on [Class 2]. To prove the crime of Arson, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable
13	doubt		ne defendant:
14			
15		1.	damaged or caused to be damaged a structure by fire or explosion; and
16			
17		2.	did so willfully and unlawfully or did so while engaged in the commission of
18		anotl	ner offense.
19			
20	В.	Fire	Bomb [Class 3].
21			
22		1.	To prove the crime of Fire Bomb, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable
23		doub	et that:
24			
25			a. the defendant manufactured, possessed, transported, disposed of, or
26			transferred to another person a fire bomb; and
27			
28			b. at the time, the defendant intended that the fire bomb would be willfully
29			and unlawfully used to damage any structure or property by fire or explosion.
30			
31		2.	Definition. "Fire bomb" means a container holding flammable or combustible
32		_	d, or any incendiary chemical mixture or compound, having a device capable of
33		being	g ignited; but does not include devices that are commercially manufactured for the
34		purp	ose of illumination, heating, or cooking.
35			

1			
2			
3			
4	C.	Crin	ninal Mischief [Class 3].
5			
6		1.	To prove the crime of Criminal Mischief, the Tribe must prove beyond a
7		reasc	onable doubt that:
8			
9			a. the defendant injured or damaged real or personal property;
10			
11			b. the property injured or damaged did not belong to the defendant; and
12			
13			c. the injury or damage was done willfully and maliciously.
14			
15		2.	Definition. "Maliciously" means wrongfully, intentionally, without legal
16		justif	ication or excuse, and with the knowledge that injury or damage will or may be
17		cause	ed to another person or the property of another person.
18			
19			
20	SEC	TION 2	XIX. COMPUTER CRIMES
21			
22	A.	Com	puter Fraud [Class 2]. To prove the crime of Computer Fraud, the Tribe must
23	prove	beyon	d a reasonable doubt that the defendant used a computer or computer network
24	withc	out auth	ority, and:
25			
26		1.	obtained property or services by false pretenses;
27			
28		2.	converted the property of another; or
29			
30		3.	embezzled or committed larceny.
31			
32	В.	Tran	smission of Unsolicited Commercial Electronic Mail (SPAM) [Class 5].
33			
34		1.	To prove the crime of Transmission of Unsolicited Commercial Electronic Mail
35		(SPA	M), the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant:

1	C.	Com	puter Trespass [Class 3].
2			
3		1.	To prove the crime of Computer Trespass, the Tribe must prove beyond a
4		reaso	nable doubt that the defendant had malicious intent to:
5			
6			a. temporarily or permanently remove, halt, or otherwise disable any
7			computer data, programs or software from a computer or computer network;
8			
9			b. cause a computer to malfunction, regardless of how long the malfunction
10			persisted;
11			
12			c. alter, disable, or erase any computer data, programs, or software;
13			
14			d. effect the creation or alteration of a financial instrument or of an electronic
15			transfer of funds;
16			
17			e. use a computer or computer network to cause physical injury to the
18			property of another;
19			
20			f. use a computer or computer network to make or cause to be made an
21			unauthorized copy, in any form, including any printed or electronic form of
22			computer data, programs, or software residing in, communicated by, or produced
23			by a computer or computer network;
24			
25			g. install or cause to be installed, or collect information through, computer
26			software that records all or a majority of the keystrokes made on the computer of
27			another without the computer owner's authorization; or
28			
29			h. install or cause to be installed on the computer of another, computer
30			software for the purpose of:
31			
32			i. taking control of that computer so that can cause damage to
33			another computer; or
34			

1		ii. disabling or disrupting the ability of the computer to share or
2		transmit instructions or data to other computers or to any related computer
3		equipment or devices, including printers, scanners, or fax machines.
4		
5	2.	Definitions.
6		
7		a. "Computer data" means any representation of information, knowledge,
8		facts, concepts, or instructions that are being prepared or have been prepared and
9		is intended to be processed, is being processed, or has been processed in a
10		computer or computer network. Computer data may be in any form, whether
11		readable only by a computer or only by a human or either.
12		
13		b. "Computer program" means an ordered set of data representing coded
14		instructions or statements that, when executed by a computer, causes the
15		computer to perform one or more computer operations.
16		
17		c. "Financial instrument" means any instrument relating to financial
18		information or records including, but not limited to, any check, draft, warrant,
19		money order, note, certificate of deposit, letter of credit, bill of exchange, credit or
20		debit card, transaction authorization mechanism, marketable security, or any
21		computerized representation thereof.
22		
23	D. Destr	uction of Computer Equipment [Class 3]. To prove the crime of Destruction of
24		uipment, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
25	1 1	
26	1.	the defendant intentionally or recklessly tampered with, took, transferred,
27		aled, altered, or otherwise damaged or destroyed any equipment used in a computer
28		nputer network;
29		
30	2.	the defendant did so without authorization;
31	_,	
32	3.	the defendant knew that he or she lacked authorization; and
33		me actination man is of one mened authorization, and
34	4.	the result of the damage was \$1,000.00 or greater.
25	₹,	the result of the dumage was \$1,000.00 of greater.

1	E.	Thef	ft of Computer Services [Class 4].
2			
3		1.	To prove the crime of Theft of Computer Services, the Tribe must prove beyond a
4		reaso	onable doubt that:
5			
6			a. the defendant accessed or caused to be accessed or otherwise used or
7			caused to be used a computer system; and
8			
9			b. the defendant had the intent to obtain unauthorized computer services,
10			computer software or data.
11			
12		2.	Definitions.
13			
14			a. "Computer data" means any representation of information, knowledge,
15			facts, concepts, or instructions that are being prepared or has been prepared and is
16			intended to be processed, is being processed, or has been processed in a computer
17			or computer network. Computer data may be in any form, whether readable only
18			by a computer, only by a human, or by either.
19			
20			b. "Computer system" means a computer, its software, related equipment and
21			communications facilities, if any, and includes computer networks.
22			
23	F.	Una	uthorized Access of Computer [Class 4].
24			
25		1.	To prove the crime of Unauthorized Access of Computer, the Tribe must prove
26		beyo	nd a reasonable doubt that:
27			
28			a. the defendant knew he or she was not authorized to access the computer;
29			and
30			
31			b. the defendant accessed or caused a computer or computer system to be
32			accessed.
33			
34		2.	Definition. "Computer system" means a computer, its software, related
35		equip	oment and communications facilities, if any, and includes computer networks.

35

1	3.	Definitions.
2		
3		a. "Access" means to approach, instruct, communicate with, store data in,
4		retrieve data from, or otherwise make use of any resources of a computer,
5		computer system, or computer network.
6		
7		b. "Computer contaminant" means any set of computer instructions designed
8		to modify, damage, destroy, record, or transmit information within a computer,
9		computer system, or computer network without the intent or permission of the
10		owner of the information. This includes, but is not limited to, viruses or worms
11		that are self-replicating or self-propagating, and are designed to contaminate other
12		computer programs or computer data, consumer computer resources, or in some
13		other way usurp the normal operation of the computer, computer system, or
14		computer network.
15		
16		
17	SECTION X	X. BURGLARY and TRESPASS
18		
19	A. Burgl	ary [Class 2].
20		
21	1.	To prove the crime of Burglary, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt
22	that:	
23		
24		a. the defendant entered a structure or conveyance, owned by or in the
25		possession of another;
26		
27		b. the defendant, upon entering, had the intent to commit another offense in
28		the structure or conveyance; and
29		
30		c. the defendant was not licensed or invited to enter the structure or
31		conveyance; or if a public area, the premises were not open at the time of
32		entering.
33		
34	2.	If the license or invitation to enter was obtained by the defendant's trick, fraud, or
35	deceit	, then the license or invitation was not valid.

1			
2		3.	If the defendant entered premises that were open to the public, but then entered ar
3		area	of the premises that the defendant knew was not open to the public, it is a burglary
4		so lo	ong as the defendant had the intent to commit another offense in that non-public area.
5			
6		4.	It is not necessary for the Tribe to prove that the defendant's entire body entered
7		the s	tructure or conveyance, it is sufficient that the defendant extend any party of his or
8		her b	pody into it.
9			
10		5.	The crime intended cannot be a trespass.
11			
12	В.	Poss	ession of Burglary Tools [Class 3]. To prove the crime of Possession of Burglary
13	Tools	s, the T	ribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant:
14			
15		1.	intended to commit a burglary or a trespass;
16			
17		2.	had in his or her possession a tool, a machine, or an implement that the defendant
18		inter	nded to use, or allow to be used, in the commission of the burglary or trespass; and
19			
20		3.	did some overt act toward the commission of a burglary or trespass.
21			
22	C.		ninal Damage to Property (Vandalism) [Class 4]. To prove the crime of Criminal
23		-	Property (Vandalism), the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonably that the defendant
24	either	:	
25		_	
26		1.	intentionally or recklessly defaced or damaged the personal or real property of
27		anot	her; or
28		•	
29		2.	intentionally or recklessly defaced or damaged the real property of the Little
30		Trav	erse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians.
31	D	Tr.	an again Standatuna an Canadayara (Class 4)
32	D.	1 res	spass in Structure or Conveyance [Class 4].
33		1	To prove the arises of Treamers in a Standard of Courses of the Tribe
34		1.	To prove the crime of Trespass in a Structure of Conveyance, the Tribe must
35		prov	e beyond a reasonable doubt that:

1		
2		a. the defendant willfully entered or remained in a structure or conveyance;
3		
4		b. the structure or conveyance was in the lawful possession of another
5		person; and
6		
7		c. the defendant's entering or remaining in the structure or conveyance was
8		without authorization, license, or invitation by any person authorized to give that
9		permission, or the defendant had been authorized, licensed, or invited to enter or
10		remain in a structure or conveyance and the defendant was warned to depart by
11		the person authorized and the defendant refused.
12		
13	2.	Authority to enter or remain in a structure or conveyance does not need to be
14	given	in express words. It may be implied from the circumstances that it is lawful to enter
15	or rem	ain in a structure or conveyance of another if, under all the circumstances, a
16	reason	able person would believe that he or she had the permission of the owner or
17	occupa	ant.
18		
19	3.	Definitions. "Person authorized" means an owner or lessee, or his or her agent, or
20	any la	w enforcement officer whose department has received written authorization from
21	the ow	oner or lessee, or his or her agent, to communicate an order to depart the property in
22	case o	f a threat to public safety or welfare.
23		
24		
25	SECTION X	XI. THEFT and DEALINGS IN STOLEN PROPERTY
26		
27	A. Theft	[Class 2].
28		
29	1.	To prove the crime of Theft, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that
30	the de	fendant:
31		
32		a. knowingly and unlawfully obtained or used, attempted to obtain or to use
33		the property of another; and
34		
35		b. did so with the intent to either temporarily or permanently,

1			
2			i. deprive another person of his or her right to the property or any
3			benefit from it; or
4			
5			ii. appropriate the property of another to his or her own use or to the
6			use of any person not entitled to it.
7			
8		2.	Definitions. "Obtains or uses" means any manner of:
9			
10			a. taking or exercising control over property;
11			
12			b. making any unauthorized use, disposition, or transfer of property;
13			
14			c. obtaining property by fraud, willful misrepresentation of a future act, or
15			false promise; or
16			
17			d. conduct previously known as stealing, larceny, purloining, abstracting,
18			embezzlement, misapplication, misappropriation, conversion; or obtaining money
19			or property by false pretenses, fraud, deception; or other conduct similar in nature.
2021	В.	Fonci	ng [Class 3].
22	ь.	renci	ing [Class 3].
23		1.	To prove the crime of Fencing, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt
24		-	the defendant:
25			
26			a. trafficked in or attempted to traffic in stolen property; and
27			
28			b. knew or should have known that the property was stolen.
29			
30		2.	An inference that the defendant knew or should have known that the property was
31		stolen	can be made under the following circumstances:
32			
33			a. proof of possession of recently stolen property without a satisfactory
34			explanation;
35			

1			b. proof of the purchase or sale of stolen property by a dealer in property
2			outside of the regular course of business, without the usual indicia of ownership,
3			and without a satisfactory explanation;
4			
5			c. proof that a dealer who regularly deals in used property possesses stolen
6			property that has a name and phone number of another person, not the offeror,
7			conspicuously displayed; or
8			
9			d. proof that a person was in possession of a stolen motor vehicle when the
10			ignition mechanism was bypassed or the steering wheel locking mechanism was
11			broken or bypassed, and without a satisfactory explanation.
12			
13		3.	Definitions.
14			
15			a. "Stolen property" means property that has been the subject of any
16			criminally wrongful taking or if the property has not been stolen, that it was
17			offered for sale to the defendant as stolen property.
18			
19			b. "Traffic" means to sell, transfer, distribute, dispense or otherwise dispose
20			of property; and to buy, receive, possess, obtain control of or use property with
21			the intent to sell, transfer, distribute, dispense or otherwise dispose of that
22			property.
23			
24	C.	Retail	Theft [Class 3].
25			
26		1.	To prove the crime of Retail Theft, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable
27		doubt	that the defendant:
28			
29			a. knowingly took possession of or carried away merchandise; altered or
30			removed a label or price tag from merchandise; transferred merchandise from one
31			container to another; or removed a shopping cart from a merchant's premises; and
32			
33			b. intended to deprive the merchant of possession, use, benefit, or full retail
34			value of the merchandise or shopping cart.
35			

1	2.	Definitions.
2		
3		a. "Merchandise" means any personal property capable of manual delivery,
4		displayed, held or offered for retail sale by a merchant.
5		
6		b. "Merchant" means an owner, operator, consignee, employee, lessee or
7		officer of any premises or apparatus used for retail purchase or sale of
8		merchandise.
9		
10		c. "Value of merchandise" means the sale price of the merchandise at the
11		time it was stolen or otherwise removed depriving the owner of his lawful right to
12		ownership and sale of the item.
13		
14		
15	SECTION X	XII. CRIMES OF ROBBERY
16		
17	A. Robbo	ery [Class 2].
18		
19	1.	To prove the crime of Robbery, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt
20	that:	
21		
22		a. the defendant took money or property from another person or custody of
23		another person;
24		
25		b. the taking was done by force, violence, assault, or otherwise putting the
26		person in fear;
27		
28		c. the property taken was of some value; and
29		
30		d. the taking was done with the intent to permanently or temporarily deprive
31		another person of his or her right to the property or any benefit from it; or
32		appropriate the property of another to his or her own use or to the use of any
33		person not entitled to it.
34		

1		2.	It is not necessary for the Tribe to prove that the person robbed was the actual
2		owne	r of the property. It is sufficient if the person robbed had custody of the property at
3		the ti	me of the robbery.
4			
5		3.	It is not necessary that the victim of the robbery be aware or conscious of the
6		robbe	ery. It is sufficient if the defendant causes the victim to be unaware or unconscious
7		for th	e purpose of taking his or her property.
8			
9		4.	Definitions.
10			
11			a. "Force" means some use of physical or verbal threat to overcome any
12			resistance by the victim.
13			
14			b. "Taking" means removing property from the victim's possession by
15			physical force or verbal threat of force.
16			
17	В.	Carja	acking [Class 2].
18			
19		1.	To prove the crime of Carjacking, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable
20		doubt	that:
21			
22			a. the defendant took a motor vehicle from another person;
23			
24			b. the taking was done by force, violence, assault or otherwise putting the
25			person in fear; and
26			
27			c. the defendant had the intent to temporarily or permanently deprive another
28			person of his or her right to the motor vehicle or any benefit from it; or
29			appropriate the motor vehicle to his or her own use or to the use of any person not
30			entitled to it.
31			
32		2.	It is not necessary that the victim be the actual owner of the motor vehicle. It is
33		suffic	tient if the victim has custody of the motor vehicle at the time of the carjacking.
34			
35			

1	C.	Home	e-Invasion Robbery [Class 2].
2			
3		1.	To prove the crime of Home-Invasion Robbery, the Tribe must prove beyond a
4		reason	nable doubt that the defendant:
5			
6			a. entered the dwelling of another;
7			
8			b. intended to commit a robbery at the time of entering the dwelling; and
9			
10			c. committed a robbery while inside the dwelling.
11		2	
12		2.	Definition. "Dwelling" means a building or conveyance of any kind that has a
13			over it and is designed to be occupied by people lodging within it at night, together
14 15		with t	he space of ground and outbuildings immediately surrounding it.
	n	Dahh	ony by Suddon Snotobing [Close 2]
16 17	D.	Konn	ery by Sudden Snatching [Class 3].
18		1.	To prove the crime of Robbery by Sudden Snatching, the Tribe must prove
19			
20		ocyon	ad a reasonable doubt that:
21			a. the defendant took money or property from the person of the victim;
22			a. the defendant took money or property from the person of the victim;
23			b. the property taken was of some value;
24			
25			c. the defendant had the intent to permanently or temporarily deprive the
26			owner of the property; and
27			
28			d. the victim was aware or became aware of the taking.
29			
30		2.	It is not necessary for the tribe to prove that the defendant used any amount of
31		force	beyond the effort necessary to obtain possession of the money or property, that
32		there	was any resistance offered by the victim, or that there was any injury to the victim.
33			
34		3.	It is not necessary that the victim be the actual owner of the property. It is
35		suffic	ient that the victim was in possession of the property at the time of the offense.

1		
2		
3	SEC'	TION XXIII. FORGERY and WORTHLESS CHECKS
4		
5	A.	Forgery [Class 2].
6		
7		1. To prove the crime of Forgery, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt
8		that the defendant:
9		
10		a. falsely made, altered, forged, or counterfeited a document; and
11		
12		b. intended to injure or defraud some person or entity.
13		
14		2. It is not necessary for the Tribe to prove that the defendant intended to make some
15		profit. It is sufficient that the defendant intended to injure or defraud any person.
16		
17	В.	Uttering a Forgery [Class 2]. To prove the crime of Uttering a Forgery, the Tribe must
18		prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant:
19		
20		1. passed or offered to pass as true some document;
21		
22		2. knew the documents to be false, altered, forged, or counterfeited; and
23		
24		3. intended to injure or defraud some person or entity.
25		
26	C.	Obtaining Property with a Worthless Check [Class 5].
27		
28		1. To prove the crime of Obtaining Property with a Worthless Check, the Tribe must
29		prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
30		
31		a. the defendant drew, made, uttered, issued, or delivered a check;
32		
33		b. the defendant obtained services, goods, or any other thing of value;
34		

1		c. the defendant knew at the time of writing the check that there was not
2		sufficient money on deposit or any arrangement with the bank to pay the check;
3		and
4		
5		d. the check was for \$150.00 or more.
6		
7	2.	Defenses. It is a defense to the crime of Obtaining Property with a Worthless
8	Chec	k if either of the following circumstances existed:
9		
10		a. the payee knew the defendant did not have sufficient funds at the bank to
11		pay the check; or
12		
13		b. the payee had good reason to believe the defendant did not have sufficient
14		funds at the bank to pay the check.
15		
16	D. Obta	aining a Signature by Deception [Class 3]. To prove the crime of Obtaining a
17	Signature by	Deception, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant:
18		
19	1.	obtained the signature of another person on a written instrument;
20		
21	2.	knowingly misrepresented or omitted any material fact relevant to the instrument
22	or tra	insaction; and
23		
24	3.	had the intent to defraud.
25		
26		
27	SECTION 2	XXIV. PERJURY [and FALSE INFORMATION]
28		
29	A. Perj	ury [Class 4]. To prove the crime of Perjury, the Tribe must prove beyond a
30	reasonable d	oubt that the defendant:
31		
32	1.	took an oath or affirmation that legally required the defendant to speak the truth;
33	and	
34		
35	2.	knowingly made a false statement.
	D	proceed Logication: Criminal and Crimes Statute as anongered by Councilor Otto - posted 02/06/15

1			
2	B.	False	e Information to Law Enforcement [Class 3]. To prove the crime of False
3	Infor	mation	to Law Enforcement, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
4			
5		1.	the law enforcement officer was conducting an investigation;
6			
7		2.	the defendant knew the person conducting the investigation was a law
8		enfo	rcement officer;
9			
10		3.	the defendant knowingly and willfully gave false information to the law
11		enfo	rcement officer; and
12			
13		4.	the defendant intended to mislead the law enforcement officer or impede the
14		inve	stigation.
15			
16			
17	SEC	TION	XXV. BRIBERY and RELATED CRIMES
18			
19	A.	Brib	ery of an Official [Class 3].
20			
21		1.	To prove the crime of Bribery of an Official, the Tribe must prove beyond a
22		reaso	onable doubt that:
23			
24			a. the person bribed was an official;
25			
26			b. the defendant gave, offered, or promised the official something of value,
27			benefit, or advantage to the official not authorized by law; and
28			
29			c. the gift, offer or promise was made for the purpose of corruptly
30			influencing the official in the performance of some act or omission that:
31			
32			i. the defendant believed to be within the official's discretion, in
33			violation of the official's public duty or in performance of the official's
34			public duty; or
35			

1			ii. the official represented as being within the official's discretion, in
2			violation of the official's public duty or in performance of the official's
3			public duty.
4			
5		2.	Definitions.
6			
7			a. "Corruptly" means acting knowingly and dishonestly for a wrongful
8			purpose.
9			
10			b. "Official" means any tribal official, judge, or employee.
11			
12	В.	Brib	ery by an Official [Class 3].
13			
14		1.	To prove the crime of Bribery by an Official, the Tribe must prove beyond a
15		reaso	nable doubt that:
16			
17			a. the defendant was an official;
18			
19			b. the defendant requested, solicited, accepted, or agreed to accept something
20			of value, benefit or advantage to the official not authorized by law;
21			
22			c. the request, solicitation, acceptance, or agreement to accept was made
23			with intent of corruptly being influenced in the performance of some act or
24			omission that:
25			
26			i. the person making the bribe believed to be within the official's
27			discretion, in violation of the official's public duty, or in performance of
28			the official's public duty; or
29			
30			ii. the defendant represented as being within his or her official
31			discretion, in violation or his or her public duty, or in performance of his
32			or her public duty
33			
34			
35			

1		2.	Definitions.
2			
3			a. "Corruptly" means acting knowingly and dishonestly for a wrongful
4			purpose.
5			
6			b. "Official" means any tribal official, judge or employee.
7			
8	C.	-	oper Influence of Official [Class 4]. To prove the crime of Improper Influence of
9	an Off	icial, th	e Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant:
10			
11		1.	threatened harm to any tribal official, judge, or employee, and
12			
13		2.	had the intent of influencing that official's actions.
14			
15	D.		e of Office [Class 4]. To prove the crime of Abuse of Office, the Tribe must prove
16	beyone	d a reaso	onable doubt that the defendant:
17			
18		1.	acted or purported to act in an official capacity; and
19			
20		2.	subjected another to arrest, detention, search or seizure without just and lawful
21			or maliciously denied or impeded another in the exercise or enjoyment of any
22		right, p	privilege, power, or immunity.
23			
24	E.		uction of Tribal Administration [Class 4]. To prove the crime of Obstruction of
25			stration, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant
26	intenti	onally c	or knowingly obstructed, impaired, or hindered:
27			
28		1.	any officer of the Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians in the lawful
29		exercis	se of his or her duties;
30			
31		2.	any duly authorized person serving or attempting to serve or execute process or
32		any ru	le or order of the court of the Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians;
33			
34		3.	any judge or other court personnel of the Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa
35		Indian	s, in the lawful exercise of his or her duties; or

1		
2		4. any other law enforcement official in the lawful exercise of his or her duties.
3		
4		
5	SEC	TION XXVI. FRAUD
6		
7	A.	Fraudulent Practices. [Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices?]
8		
9	В.	Welfare Fraud [Class 3].
10		
11		1. To prove the crime of Welfare Fraud, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable
12		doubt that the defendant:
13		
14		a. knowingly failed to disclose a material fact by false statement,
15		misrepresentation, impersonation, or other fraudulent means;
16		
17		b. knew that fact was used to determine qualifications to receive aid or
18		benefits; and
19		
20		c. received aid or benefits from a state or federally [or LTBB/tribal?] funded
21		assistance program.
22		
23		2. Definition. "Fraudulent" means the intent or purpose of suppressing the truth or
24		perpetrating a deception.
25		
26	C.	Fraudulent Use or Possession of Personal Identification Information [Class 3].
27		
28		1. To prove the crime of Fraudulent Use or Possession of Personal Identification
29		Information, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant:
30		
31		a. willfully and without authorization fraudulently used or possessed with
32		intent to fraudulently use personal identification information of another; and
33		
34		b. used or possessed the information with consent or authorization.
35		

1		2.	Definitions.
2			
3			a. "Authorization" means empowerment, permission, or competence to act.
4			
5			b. "Fraudulently" means intentionally or purposely suppressing the truth or
6			perpetrating a deception.
7			
8			c. "Personal identification information" means any name or number that may
9			be used to identify a specific individual or that individual's personal information.
10			Such information includes, but is not limited to the following: mail or electronic
11			mail address; telephone number; social security number; date of birth;
12			government issued driver's license, identification, or passport number; bank
13			issued information; biometric information; medical records; telecommunication
14			identifying information or access; or any other information that can be used to
15			access a person's financial resources.
16			
17	D.	Frau	dulent Creation, Use or Possession of Counterfeit Personal Identification
18	Infor	nation	[Class 3]. To prove the crime of Fraudulent Creation, Use or Possession of
19	Count	erfeit P	Personal Identification Information, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt
20	that:		
21			
22		1.	the defendant willfully and fraudulently created, used or possessed with intent to
23		use co	ounterfeit or fictitious personal identification information;
24			
25		2.	the personal identification information concerned a fictitious individual,
26		conce	erned a real individual who did not consent, or concerned a real individual who
27		unlaw	fully gave the information to the defendant; and
28			
29		3.	the defendant created, used, or possessed the information with the intent to
30		comn	nit or facilitate the commission of a fraud on another person.
31			
32	E.	Crim	inal Impersonation [Class 3]. To prove the crime of Criminal Impersonation, the
33	Tribe	must p	rove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant:
34			
35		1.	assumed a false identity with the intent to defraud another; or

1	
2	2. pretended to be a representative of some person or organization with the intent to
3	defraud.
4	
5	F. False Identification to Procure a Tribal Natural Resource License [Class 5]. To
6	prove the crime of Falsification of Identification to Procure a Tribal Natural Resource License,
7	the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant:
8	
9	1. intentionally presented false identification information; and
10	
11	2. did so with the purpose of obtaining a natural resource license or permit that the
12	defendant would not otherwise be entitled to.
13	
14	
15	SECTION XXVII. OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE
16	
17	A. Resisting an Officer with Violence [Class 2]. To prove the crime of Resisting an
18	Officer with Violence, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
19	
20	1. the defendant knowingly and willfully resisted, obstructed, or oppose the officer
21	by offering to do or doing violence to the officer;
22	
23	at the time, the officer was engaged in the execution of legal process or lawful
24	execution of a legal duty; and
25	2 the officer was an area legally outhorized to avecute masses
2627	3. the officer was a person legally authorized to execute process.
28	B. False Report of Commission of Crime [Class 3]. To prove the crime of False Report of
29	Commission of Crime, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
30	Commission of Crime, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that.
31	1. the defendant willfully gave, said, or caused to be given or said false information
32	or a report about the alleged commission of a crime under the laws of the Little Traverse
33	Bay Bands of Odawa Indians;
34	Day Dando of Odama malano,
٠.	

1		2.	the defendant knew the information or report was false because no such crime had
2		actua	lly been committed;
3			
4		3.	the information or report was given, said or caused to be given or said to a law
5		enfor	cement officer; and
6			
7		4.	the defendant knew or should have known that he or she was giving information
8		to a la	aw enforcement officer.
9			
10	C.	Mali	cious Criminal Prosecution [Class 3]. To prove the crime of Malicious Criminal
11	Prosec	cution,	the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant:
12			
13		1.	maliciously caused or attempted to cause a criminal charge to be prosecuted
14		again	st an innocent person; and
15			
16		2.	knew that the person being prosecuted was innocent.
17			
18	D.	Givir	ng False Information Concerning the Commission of a Crime [Class 4]. To
19	prove	the cri	me of Giving False Information Concerning the Commission of a Crime, the Tribe
20	must p	orove b	beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant:
21			
22		1.	knowingly gave information about the alleged commission of a crime;
23			
24		2.	knew the information was false;
25			
26		3.	gave the false information to a law enforcement officer; and
27			
28		4.	knew that the person was a law enforcement officer.
29			
30	E.	Givir	ng a False Name or Identification to a Law Enforcement Officer Adversely
31	Affect	ting Aı	nother [Class 4]. To prove the crime of Giving a False Name or Identification to a
32	Law E	inforce	ement Officer Adversely Affecting Another, the Tribe must prove beyond a
33	reason	able d	oubt that:
34			
35		1.	the defendant was arrested or lawfully detained by a law enforcement officer;

1		d. offered for recording, registration or filing in a tribal office or agency a
2		written statement knowing that it had been falsely made, completed, or altered or
3		that it contained a false state of information; or
4		
5		e. knowingly destroyed, concealed, removed, or other wise impaired the
6		availability of any public record.
7		
8		2. Definition. "Public record" means all official books, papers, written instruments
9		or records created, issued, received or kept by any tribal office, branch or division of the
10		Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians.
11		
12	Н.	Interfering with an Election [Class 2]. To prove the crime of Interfering with an
13	Electi	on, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
14		
15		1. the defendant knowingly attempted to influence the vote of any person, or
16		prevented a person from voting in an election held by the Little Traverse Bay Bands of
17		Odawa Indians through the use or threatened use of force or violence; or
18		
19		2. attempted to cast more than one vote in an election, or in any way interfered with
20		the collection and counting of ballots.
21		
22		
23	SECT	TION XXVIII. GAMBLING
24		
25	A.	Gambling [Class 3].
26		
27		1. To prove the crime of Gambling, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt
28		that the defendant:
29		
30		a. played or engaged in a game of chance;
31		
32		b. risked money or property on the outcome of the game; and
33		
34		c. expected to gain or lose money or property as a result of the game.
35		

1		2. It is not necessary for the Tribe to prove that the defendant risked his or her own
2		money.
3		
4	В.	Maintaining a Gambling Establishment [Class 2].
5		
6		1. To prove the crime of Maintaining a Gambling Establishment, the Tribe must
7		prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
8		
9		a. the defendant either in person, by servant, or by agent; or acting as a
10		servant, clerk, agent, or employee of another;
11		
12		b. the defendant had a substantial degree of control over and kept or
13		maintained the place where the gambling occurred or articles used for gambling
14		purposes; and
15		
16		c. the place was or the articles were habitually kept or maintained for the
17		purpose of gambling.
18		
19		2. It is not necessary for the Tribe to prove that the defendant gambled, received a
20		profit from the gambling, or that the defendant owned or controlled the property.
21		
22		3. Definition. "Gambling" means a game of chance where the participant risks
23		money or property on the outcome of the game with the expectation of gaining or losin
24		money or property.
25	~	
26	C.	Permitting Gambling [Class 2].
27		
28		1. To prove the crime of Permitting Gambling, the Tribe must prove beyond a
29		reasonable doubt that the defendant:
30		
31		a. either in person, by servant, or by agent; or acting as a servant, clerk,
32		agent, or employee;
33		
34		b. had direct or indirect charge, control, or management of the place where
35		the gambling occurred; and

1		
2		c. habitually solicited or knowingly permitted gambling at that place.
3		
4	2.	It is not necessary for the tribe to prove that the defendant had sole and exclusive
5	contro	ol or management of the place. It is sufficient that the control or management was
6	exerc	ised jointly with others. However, the defendant must have had sufficient control or
7 8	mana	gement of the place to carry some authority to deny or forbid gambling in the place.
9	3.	Definition. "Gambling" means a game of chance where the participant risks
10		y or property on the outcome of the game with the expectation of gaining or losing
11		y or property.
12		y or propositi
13		
14	SECTION X	XXIX. DRUG ABUSE
15		
16	A. Posse	ession, Use, Sale, Manufacture, or Distribution of a Controlled Substance [Class
17	4].	
18		
19	1.	To prove the crime of Possession, Use, Sale, Manufacture, or Distribution of a
20	Contr	rolled Substance, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
21		
22		a. the defendant possessed, used, sold, purchased, manufactured, or
23		distributed a controlled substance; or possessed with the intent to sell, distribute,
24		or manufacture a controlled substance; and
25		
26		b. the defendant had knowledge of the presence of the controlled substance.
27		
28	2.	Definitions.
29		
30		a. "Deliver" means the actual, constructive, or attempted transfer from one
31		person to another of a controlled substance.
32		
33		b. "Manufacture" means the production, preparation, packaging, labeling,
34		compounding, cultivating, growing, conversion or processing of a controlled
35		substance, either directly or indirectly. This includes the extraction from

1	substances of natural origin, or independently by means of chemical synthesis,	
2	and by a combination of the two.	
3		
4	c. "Possess" means to have actual or constructive personal charge of or to	
5	exercise the right of ownership, management, or control over the thing possesses	ed.
6		
7	i. "Actual possession" means the controlled substance is in the har	ıd
8	of or on the person, in a container in the hand of or on the person, or is s	80
9	close to be within ready reach and is under the control of the person.	
10		
11	ii. "Constructive possession" means the controlled substance is in a	l
12	place over which the defendant has control or in which the defendant has	S
13	concealed it.	
14		
15	d. "Sell" means to transfer or deliver something to another person in	
16	exchange for money, something of value, or a promise to pay money or give	
17	something of value.	
18		
19	B. Trafficking of Controlled Substances [Class 2]. To prove the crime of Trafficking of	Î
20	Controlled Substances, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:	
21		
22	1. the defendant knowingly sold, purchased, manufactured, delivered, possessed,	or
23	brought into the reservation area of the Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians, a	
24	controlled substance or mixture containing a controlled substance;	
25		
26	2. the substance was a controlled substance as defined or described in the Uniform	1
27	Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. Section 812, as updated;	
28		
29	3. the quantity of the substance involved was [four grams or more]; and	
30		
31	4. the defendant knew that the substance was a controlled substance.	
32		
33	C. Unlawful Sale, Manufacture, Alteration, Delivery, Uttering, or Possession of	
34	Counterfeit-Resistant Prescription Blanks for Controlled Substances [Class 4].	
25		

1		1. To prove the crime of Unlawful Sale, Manufacture, Alteration, Delivery, Uttering
2		or Possession of Counterfeit-Resistant Prescription Blanks for Controlled Substances, the
3		tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
4		
5		a. the defendant sold, manufactured, altered, delivered, uttered, or possessed
6		any counterfeit-resistant prescription blanks for controlled substances;
7		
8		b. the counterfeit-resistant prescription blanks for controlled substances were
9		in the form and content established by the [Department of Health - Michigan or
10		LTBB]; and
11		
12		c. the defendant intended to injure or defraud any person, or to facilitate the
13		use of the counterfeit-resistant prescription blanks.
14		
15		2. Definitions. "Utter" means to pass, present, or publish.
16		
17		
18	SEC	TION XXX. RACKETEERING
19		
20	A.	Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO). [cite reference?]
21		
22	В.	Use or Investment of Proceeds from Pattern of Racketeering Activity [Class 2].
23		
24		1. To prove the crime of Use or Investment of Proceeds from a Pattern of
25		Racketeering Activity, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that at least two
26		of the following incidents occurred:
27		
28		a. the defendant participated in two or more criminal incidents that had the
29		same or similar intents, results, accomplices, victims, or methods of commission
30		or were interrelated by distinguishing characteristics and were not isolated
31		incidents;
32		
33		b. the defendant had criminal intent and received proceeds that were derived
34		directly or indirectly from such incidents; or
35		

1		c. the defendant used or invested some of the proceeds either directly or
2		indirectly in acquiring some right, title, equity or interest in real property or in
3		establishing or operating an enterprise.
4		
5		2. Definition. "Receiving proceeds with criminal intent" means that the defendant,
6		at the time of receiving the proceeds, either knew the source of the proceeds or had
7		suspicions aroused, but deliberately failed to make further inquiry as to the source of the
8		proceeds.
9		
10	C.	Use or Investment of Proceeds from Collection of Unlawful Debt [Class 2].
11		
12		1. To prove the crime of Use or Investment of Proceeds from Collection of Unlawful
13		Debt, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant:
14		
15		a. had criminal intent when he or she received proceeds that were derived
16		directly or indirectly from the collection of an unlawful debt; and
17		
18		b. used or invested some of the proceeds either directly or indirectly in
19		acquiring some right, title, equity, or interest in real property; or in establishing or
20		operating an enterprise.
21		
22		2. Definitions.
23		
24		a. "Receiving proceeds with criminal intent" means that the defendant, at the
25		time of receiving the proceeds, either knew the source of the proceeds or had
26		suspicions around, but deliberately failed to make further inquiry as to the source
27		of the proceeds
28		
29		b. "Real property" means land, anything erected on it, and any interest in it.
30		
31		c. "Enterprise" means an ongoing organization, formal or informal, that both
32		functions a continuing unit and has a common purpose of engaging in a course of
33		conduct.
34		

1	D.	Acqu	uisition or Maintenance through Pattern of Racketeering Activity [Class 2]. To
2	prove	the cr	ime of Acquisition or Maintenance through a Pattern of Racketeering Activity, the
3	Tribe	must p	prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
4			
5		1.	the defendant was engaged in two or more criminal incidents that had the same or
6		simil	ar intents, results, accomplices, victims, or methods of commission, or were
7 8		inter	related by distinguishing characteristics and were not isolated incidents; and
9		2.	the defendant acquired, as a result of the criminal incidents, directly or indirectly,
10 11		an in	terest in or control of an enterprise or real property.
12	E.	Acqu	uisition or Maintenance through Collection of Unlawful Debt [Class 2]. To prove
13	the cr	rime of	Acquisition or Maintenance through Collection of Unlawful Debt, the Tribe must
14	prove	beyon	d a reasonable doubt that the defendant:
15			
16		1.	acquired or maintained, directly or indirectly, an interest in or control of an
17		enter	prise or real property; and
18			
19		2.	did so through the knowing collection of an unlawful debt.
20			
21	F.	Con	duct of or Participation in an Enterprise through Collection of Unlawful Debt
22	[Clas	s 3]. T	o prove the crime of Conduct of or Participation in an Enterprise through Collection
23	of an	Unlaw	ful Debt, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant:
24			
25		1.	was employed by or associated with an enterprise; and
26			
27		2.	conducted or participated in, directly or indirectly, such enterprise through the
28		knov	ving collection of an unlawful debt.
29	•	•	
30	G.		duct of or Participation in an Enterprise through a Pattern of Racketeering
31		• •	ass 3]. To prove the crime of Conduct of or Participation in an Enterprise through a
32	Patte	m of K	acketeering Activity, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
33		1	the defendant was applicated by an associated with an automotical
3435		1.	the defendant was employed by or associated with an enterprise;
55			

1		2.	the defendant conducted or participated in, directly or indirectly, such enterprise
2		by eng	gaging in two or more criminal incidents; and
3			
4		3.	the criminal incidents had the same or similar intents, results, accomplices,
5		victim	s, or methods of commission; or were interrelated by distinguishing characteristics
6		and we	ere not isolated incidents.
7			
8	Н.	Consp	oiracy to Engage in Pattern of Racketeering Activity [Class 3].
9			
10		1.	To prove the crime of Conspiracy to Engage in Pattern of Racketeering Activity,
11		the Tr	ibe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
12			
13			a. two or more persons, in some manner, came to a mutual understanding to
14			try to accomplish a common and unlawful racketeering plan;
15			
16			b. the defendant knowingly and willfully became a member of the
17			conspiracy; and
18			
19			c. the defendant joined the conspiracy with the specific intent either to
20			personally engage in at least two incidents of racketeering, or specifically
21			intended to otherwise participate in the affairs of the enterprise with the
22			knowledge and intent that the other members of the conspiracy would engage in
23			at least two incidents of racketeering as part of a pattern of racketeering activity.
24			
25		2.	It is not necessary for the Tribe to prove that the defendant had full knowledge of
26		all the	details of the unlawful scheme or the names and identities of all other alleged
27		conspi	rators. It is sufficient that the defendant had an understanding of the unlawful
28		nature	of the plan and knowingly and willfully joined in that plan.
29			
30		3.	Definitions.
31			
32			a. "Conspiracy" means an agreement between two or more persons joined
33			together in an attempt to accomplish an offense that would be in violation of the
34			law.
35			

1			b. "Pattern of racketeering activity" means engaging in at least two incidents
2			of racketeering conduct that have the same or similar intents, results, accomplices,
3			victims, or methods of commission; or that otherwise are interrelated by
4			distinguishing characteristics and are not isolated incidents.
5			
6		4.	Defense. It is a defense to the crime of Conspiracy to Engage in a Pattern of
7			teteering Activity that the defendant, after knowingly entering into a conspiracy with
8			or more persons, later persuaded those persons not to engage in such activity or
9		othe	rwise prevented commission of the offense. However, a mere attempt to dissuade one
10		from	engaging in the criminal activity is insufficient.
11			
12			
13	SEC	ΓΙΟN :	XXXI. ESCAPE
14			
15	A.	Esca	pe [Class 3]. To prove the crime of Escape, the Tribe must prove beyond a
16		reaso	onable doubt that the defendant:
17			
18		1.	was under arrest and in the lawful custody of a law enforcement official; or was
19		conv	icted of a crime and sentenced to a term of imprisonment and committed to a
20		[dete	ention center, correctional facility, jail, prison] by a court;
21			
22		2.	was confined as a prisoner at the [detention center, correctional facility, jail,
23		priso	on], was being transported to or from a place of confinement, or was working on a
24		publ	ic road; and
25			
26		3.	escaped or attempted to escape with intent to avoid lawful confinement.
27			
28	B.	Help	oing a Person Escape from Lawful Custody [Class 4]. To prove the crime of
29		Help	ing a Person Escape from Lawful Custody, the Tribe must prove beyond a
30		reaso	onable doubt that:
31			
32		1.	the defendant helped or attempted to help another escape; and
33			1 1 /
34		2.	the other person was in lawful custody.
35			

1			
2	SEC	ΓΙΟΝ X	XXII. TRANSPORTATION OFFENSES
3			
4	A.	Drivir	ng under the Influence [Class 4]. To prove the crime of Driving under the
5	Influ	ence, the	Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
6			
7		1.	the defendant drove or was in actual physical control of a vehicle within the
8		territo	rial jurisdiction of the Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians; and
9			
10		2.	the defendant, while driving or while in actual physical control of the vehicle,
11			
12			a. was under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, a chemical substance, or
13			a controlled substance to the extent that his or her normal faculties were impaired;
14			or
15			
16			b. had a blood or breath –alcohol level of .08 or more grams of alcohol per
17			100 milliliters of blood or 210 liters of breath.
18			
19	В.	Drivir	ng with a Loaded Firearm [Class 5]. To prove the crime of Driving with a Loaded
20	Firea	rm, the T	ribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
21			
22		1.	the defendant was operating a motor vehicle; and
23			
24		2.	there was a loaded firearm present in the vehicle.
25			
26	C.	Fleein	g to Elude a Law Enforcement Officer [Class 4].
27			
28		1.	To prove the crime of Fleeing to Elude a Law Enforcement Officer, the Tribe
29		must p	prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
30			
31			a. the defendant was operating a motor vehicle upon a street or highway
32			within the territorial jurisdiction of the Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa
33			Indians;
34			

1		b. a duly authorized law enforcement officer ordered the defendant to stop or
2		remain stopped;
3		
4 5		c. the defendant knew he or she was ordered to stop by a duly authorized law enforcement officer; and
6		emoreoment officer, and
7		d. the defendant willfully refused or failed to stop the vehicle in compliance
8		with the order; or stopped the vehicle, then willfully fled in a vehicle in an attempt
9		to elude the officer.
10		
11		2. Definition. "Operating" means a person is in actual physical control of a motor
12		vehicle upon the street or highway or who is exercising control over or steering a vehicle
13		being towed by a motor vehicle.
14		
15	D.	Refusal to Submit to Testing [Class 5].
16		
17		1. To prove the crime of Refusal to Submit to Testing, the Tribe must prove beyond
18		a reasonable doubt that:
19		
20		a. the law enforcement officer had probable cause to believe the defendant
21		drove, or was in actual physical control of a motor vehicle while under the
22		influence of an alcoholic beverage or a controlled substance to the extent that the
23		defendant's normal faculties were impaired;
24		
25		b. the law enforcement officer arrested the defendant for Driving under the
26		Influence, or requested a blood or breath test;
27		
28		c. the defendant was informed that a refusal to submit to the test could lead
29		to the suspension of the defendant's privilege to operate a motor vehicle;
30		
31		d. the defendant, after being informed, refused to submit to the test when
32		requested to do so by a law enforcement or correctional officer; and
33		
34		e. [the defendant's driving privilege had been previously suspended for a
35		prior refusal to submit to a lawful test of his or her breath, blood, or urine. – is this

1			an "and" or is this an aggravating circumstance warranting a more sever
2			punishment?]
3			
4		2.	Definitions.
5			
6			a. "Actual physical control" means physically in or on the motor vehicle and
7			having the capability to operate the motor vehicle, regardless of whether the
8			person is actually operating the vehicle at the time.
9			
10			b. "Probable cause" exists where the totality of the circumstance, from the
11			perspective of the law enforcement officer's knowledge, training, and experience,
12			gave the officer reasonable grounds and a fair probability to believe that a crime
13			had been committed.
14			
15	E.	Boati	ing under the Influence [Class 4].
16			
17		1.	To prove the crime of Boating under the Influence, the Tribe must prove beyond a
18		reason	nable doubt that:
19			
20			a. the defendant operated a vessel; and
21			
22			b. the defendant, while operating the vessel, was under the influence of
23			alcoholic beverages, a chemical substance, or a controlled substance to the extent
24			that his or her normal faculties were impaired; or had a blood or breath alcohol
25			level or .08 or more grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or 210 liters of
26			breath.
27			
28		2.	Defense. It is a defense to Boating under the Influence if the vessel was
29		inope	rable at the time of the alleged offense, unless the defendant was controlling or
30		steeri	ng the vessel while it was being towed by another vessel. It is not a defense if the
31		defen	dant was boating under the Influence before the vessel became inoperable.
32			
33			
34			
35			

1		3.	Definitions.
2			
3			a. "Alcoholic beverages" means any kind of beverage that contains any
4			amount of alcohol.
5			
6			b. "Operate" means to be in charge of, in command of, or in actual physical
7			control of a vessel upon the waters of [this state or within the jurisdiction of
8			LTBB]; or to exercise control over, or to have responsibility for a vessel's
9			navigation or safety while the vessel is underway upon the water of [the State of
10			Michigan or within the jurisdiction of LTBB]; or to control or steer a vessel being
11			towed by another vessel.
12			
13			
14	SECT	TION X	XXIII. MISCELLANEOUS CRIMES
15			
16	A.	Disor	derly Intoxication [Class 5].
17			
18		1.	To prove the crime of Disorderly Intoxication, the Tribe must prove beyond a
19		reason	hable doubt that the defendant:
20			
21			a. was intoxicated, and endangered the safety of another person, property, or
22			was unable to care for his or her own safety; or
23			
24			b. was intoxicated or drank any alcoholic beverage in a public place or upon
25			a public conveyance, and caused a public nuisance or disturbance.
26			
27		2.	Definitions.
28			
29			a. "Intoxication" means that the defendant must have been so affected from
30			the drinking of an alcoholic beverage as to have lost or been deprived of the
31			normal control of his or her faculties.
32			
33			b. "Public place" means a place where the public has a right to be.
34			

1	В.	Contributing to the Delinquency of a Minor [Class 5]. To prove the crime of
2	Contri	ibuting to the Delinquency of a Minor, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt
3	that:	
4		
5		1. the defendant aided, abetted, or encouraged any minor to commit an act that
6		would be an offense under this Statute; and
7		
8		2. the defendant was an adult.
9		
10	C.	Furnishing Alcohol to a Person under twenty one (21) Years of Age [Class 5]. To
11	prove	the crime of Furnishing Alcohol to a Person under twenty one (21) Years of Age, the Tribe
12	must p	prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
13		
14		1. the defendant knowingly furnished, purchased, provided, or in any way procured
15		an alcoholic beverage; and
16		
17		2. the defendant did so for the possession or consumption by a person under twenty
18		one (21) years of age.
19		
20	D.	Allowing a Person under twenty one (21) Years of Age to Consume Alcohol [Class
21	_	prove the crime of Allowing a Person under twenty one (21) Years of Age to Consume
22	Alcoh	ol, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
23		
24		1. the defendant knowingly allowed a person under the age of twenty one (21) years
25		to consume alcohol; and
26		
27		2. the person under twenty one (21) years consumed the alcohol at the defendant's
28		residence, in the defendant's vehicle, or otherwise in the defendant's presence.
29		
30	E.	Possession or Consumption of Alcohol by a Person under twenty one (21) Years of
31		Class 5]. To prove the crime of Possession or Consumption of Alcohol by a Person under
32	twenty	y one (21) Years of Age, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
33		
34		1. the defendant was under twenty one (21) years of age; and
35		

1 2	2.	the defendant knowingly possessed or consumed any alcoholic beverage.
3	F. Sale	e of Alcohol without a License [Class 4]. To prove the crime of Sale of Alcohol
4		icense, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that:
5	without a L	declise, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that.
6	1.	the defendant did not have a valid license under the laws of the State of Michigan
7		the laws of the Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians] to sell alcohol; and
8	2.	the defendant did one of the following:
9	2.	the defendant did one of the following.
10		a. sold alcohol; or
11		ar sold discolor, or
12		b. possessed alcohol with the intent to sell it.
13		
14	G. Dis	orderly Conduct [Class 5]. To prove the crime of Disorderly Conduct, the Tribe
15		beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant intentionally, knowingly, and
16	recklessly:	37 837
17	J	
18	1.	engaged in fighting or provoked a fight;
19		
20	2.	made any protracted commotion that prevented the transaction of the business of a
21	law	ful meeting, gathering, or procession;
22		
23	3.	made loud and unreasonable noise; or
24		
25	4.	engaged in the consumption of alcohol out of doors with two or more people
26	witl	nout a Tribal permit.
27		
28	H. Loi	tering or Prowling [Class 5]. To prove the crime of Loitering or Prowling, the Tribe
29	must prove	beyond a reasonable doubt that:
30		
31	1.	the defendant loitered or prowled in a place, at a time, or in a manner unusual for
32	law	-abiding individuals; and
33		

1		2.	the loitering or prowling was under circumstances that warranted justifiable and
2		reaso	nable alarm or immediate concern for the safety of persons or property in the
3		vicin	ity.
4			
5	I.	Crue	elty to Animals [Class 3].
6			
7		1.	To prove the crime of Cruelty to Animals, the Tribe must prove beyond a
8		reaso	nable doubt that the defendant:
9			
10 11			a. overloaded, overdrove, tormented, mutilated, or killed an animal;
12			b. deprived an animal of necessary sustenance or shelter;
13			
14			c. carried an animal in or upon a vehicle, or otherwise in a cruel and
15			inhumane manner; or
16			
17			d. instigated any fight or combat between two or more animals, or between
18			animals and humans.
19			
20		2.	Definitions.
21			
22			a. "Animal" means all mammals, birds, reptiles, and fish. This does not
23			include any mammals, birds, reptiles, or fish that are hunted by someone that has
24			a valid permit from either the State of Michigan or the Little Traverse Bay Bands
25			of Odawa Indians.
26			
27			b. "Torment" means any act, omission, or neglect that results in unnecessary
28			or unjustifiable pain or suffering that is caused, permitted, or allowed to continue.
29			
30	J.	Dum	ping of Hazardous Material [Class 4].
31			F8
32		1.	To prove the crime of Dumping Hazardous Material, the Tribe must prove beyond
33			sonable doubt that:
34		a roug	
•			

1		a. the defendant threw, placed, dropped, or otherwise disposed of hazardous
2		material; and
3		
4		b. the defendant did so at a place that is not a lawful disposal site for such
5		hazardous materials.
6		
7		2. Definitions.
8		
9		a. "Hazardous material" means any substance or material that could
10		adversely affect the safety of the public, handlers, or carriers during
11		transportation.
12		
13		b. "Lawful disposal site" means a site specifically designated for the disposal
14		of hazardous material as designated by the [Natural Resource Department of the
15		Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians].
16		
17	K.	Riot [Class 4]. To prove the crime of Riot, the Tribe must prove beyond a reasonable
18		doubt that:
19		
20		1. the defendant was with five or more persons acting together;
21		
22		2. the defendant intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly used force or violence, or
23		threatened to use force or violence; and
24		
25		3. the defendant's actions disturbed the public peace.
26		The state of the s
27	L.	Youth and Tobacco [Class 4].
28		
29		1. To prove the crime of Youth and Tobacco, the Tribe must prove beyond a
30		reasonable doubt that:
31		
32		a. the defendant was a minor and had possession, used, or purchased tobacco
33		or tobacco products; or
34		of tooleeo products, or
J 1		

b. the defendant allowed a minor to possess, use, or purchase tobacco or tobacco products.
Defense. It is a defense to the crime of Youth and Tobacco, if the defendant possessed or used the tobacco in furtherance of a recognized tribal or religious purpose.

CERTIFICATION

6

7